## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

FILED

2008 AUG 19 PM 3: 00

	U. S. DISTRIC M: R. Mullin, E NO.: 08cv0125	Deputy Clerk RECEIVED	MADDIERN US DISTRICT COURT  MADDIERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  DATE:  8/15/2008-urv  Petitioner	
CASE TITLE: Brooks v. Clark, et al				
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Traverse				
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:				
$\checkmark L$	ocal Rule	Discrepancy		
	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supportin	g documentation	
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper		
	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.			
$\bar{\Box}$	.1 or 47.1 Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely			
	7.1 or 47.1	or 47.1 Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document		
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions		
	7.1	Missing table of contents		
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself		
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order		
		Supplemental documents require court order		
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest		
X	OTHER: Late per order dated 7/15/2008 (Docket #12).			
		Date	e forwarded: 8/18/2008	
		ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE J		
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:				
ZĮ	The document is to	o be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.		
	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.			
	Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? $\square$ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers $\square$			
	Counsel is advised to Local Rule 83.1	CHAMBERS OF DAZ	RADA MATOR	
	Dated:	Ву: 4742		